

# Cough up the ‘secret science,’ climate propagandists!



[WND](#) October 11, 2019

Exclusive: Pat Boone continues his challenge to disgraced ‘hockey stick’ fabricator



By Pat Boone

Dear reader, fellow citizen: I hope you remember [a column recently in this space](#) in which I let you know about a monumental development in this whole “global warming” panic. I shared with you the results of a little-reported court trial that detailed the embarrassing exposure of the most quoted “climate expert” as a total fraud!

My purpose is to free us all from this ridiculous “Sky is Falling” scam and its primary “Chicken Little.”

Now for a follow up, a Chapter 2 in that story.

I hope you know, as I do, that to bear false witness is to invite a terrible wrath. A case in point, my previous column, [“‘Trial of the century’ just poured cold water on ‘hockey stick’ legend.”](#)

We saw how the vainglorious alarmist climate scientist Michael E. Mann was rendered a humiliating defeat by a fellow scientist, the skeptical Tim Ball. Dr Ball stood for truth and exposed a false witness. As we are taught: “A false witness will not go unpunished, and he who breathes out lies will perish.” (Proverbs 19:9)

Dr. Mann is now in a deep hole. His expensive eight-year libel action against Dr. Ball was dismissed “with prejudice,” plus the award of substantial legal costs in Ball’s favor.

Those who seek to profit from deceit face grave consequences. The Supreme Court of British Columbia has ordered Mann to pay Ball what may be a sum close to \$1 million.

But a fool doesn't learn easily from his mistakes.

A belligerent Mann is not only hinting he won't honor the court order to reimburse Ball's legal fees, but he's continuing to mislead his followers and the public into believing his iconic "hockey stick" warming graph remains untainted.

This is not a good place to be. A wise Mann would heed Proverbs 20:17 and know that, "Bread gained by deceit is sweet to a man, but afterward his mouth will be full of gravel."

Let no one be under any illusions here about what remains at stake. Quite simply, for the past two decades, Mann's "hockey stick" graph served as the cornerstone of misguided multi-trillion-dollar government policies for "action" on climate change, action that burdens our economy and our freedom.

Many scientists argue that these policies are meritless because climate always changes – and will continue to change – without or without human help. More CO<sub>2</sub> is Nature's blessing to life, not a curse, and temperatures today are entirely within natural variation. *They are totally the result of changes received from the sun, the source of all energy on Earth.*

Now Mann's vanity in seeking to determine scientific matters by resorting to bullying opponents in the courts has backfired spectacularly.

For too long the climate zealots had touted Mann's work as the smoking gun for dangerous human emissions of carbon dioxide, a trace gas known to be beneficial – yes, necessary – to plant growth.

Thankfully, Dr. Ball's triumph is stirring renewed doubt in high places about the science. So, how should we now weigh the credibility of hysterical claims that modern global temperatures are "unprecedented"?

Dr. Mann, a champion for advocates of *one-world socialist government*, gleefully basked in fame and fortune for 20 years. Touted as a "world-leading climate scientist" his unethical (possibly criminal) actions will bring grave consequences.

Should we now not legally compel Mann – who still says our planet is imminently imperiled – to come clean and reveal the proof Ball demanded from him?

Indeed, Mann will not budge easily from his lofty pedestal and is always quick to claim that other researchers have validated his graph built from "secret science." But the very detailed [U.S. Congress Wegman Report](#) cast grave doubts on those "replications" when it reported:

"... [W]e found that at least 43 authors have direct ties to Dr. Mann by virtue of co-authored papers with him. Our findings from this analysis suggest that authors in the area of paleoclimate studies are closely connected and thus 'independent studies' may not be as independent as they might appear on the surface." [3/91] [2]

On the key issue of Mann refusing to show his secret science calculations, Wegman lamented:

“We were especially struck by Dr. Mann’s insistence that the code he developed was his intellectual property and that he could legally hold it personally without disclosing it to peers.”

Hold it secret? Is that how governments do science? Hiding in the dark is not what any honest Mann would do.

The astute and victorious skeptic, Dr. Ball has devoted decades of his life to outing this gigantic scientific swindle. He is now vindicated in his claims that Mann would never permit any light to shine on his graph’s “secret code” – those hidden r-squared numbers Mann promised, but delayed, and has still failed to release to the court.

Better scientists say those still-hidden numbers that shaped the crooked “hockey stick” graph constitute the root evidence of his crime. In legal parlance the hidden code is the *mens rea* – or “guilty mind” component proving Dr Mann’s intent to defraud.

Even a non-scientist can understand that the closer we look at the claims of these “scientists” the more we see reasons to doubt them. We can see Mann resorted to delay tactics, prolonging the case and finally letting Ball win *big and emphatically* without having to disclose his graph’s “dirty laundry.”

We all owe a debt to Dr. Ball for his sacrifice in serving us all as a sentinel for truth against secret and unverifiable government science.

As Matthew 7:15-16 tells us: “Beware of false prophets, who come to you in sheep’s clothing but inwardly are ravenous wolves. You will recognize them by their fruits. Are grapes gathered from thorn bushes, or figs from thistles?”

So, where does this all stand now?

His refusal to obey the court and reveal his “outworking” charts has bought Mann a little more time. I support Ball’s noble call for a renewed public campaign to shine more light on this grave matter.

Like you, I’m not a scientist, just a citizen. But I can read, and think, and weigh credible evidence. Like you. This debate and the subsequent global, international decisions potentially will change life in unacceptable ways for all of us, our children and our country. We’ve got to be involved and know the facts!

United in this just cause, we can succeed in bringing evil out into the light and rejoice when the agents of darkness are undone.