

Solar magnetic field, solar radiation and their impact on terrestrial temperature

https://solargsm.com/solar-activity/

Valentina Zharkova

University of Northumbria, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK <u>https://solargsm.com/publications/</u>

With thanks to Drs. S. Shepherd (UK), E. Popova (Russia) and S. Zharkov (UK)

Solar activity



Sunspots are dark (and cooler) regions on the surface of the Sun. They have a darker inner region (the Umbra) surrounded by a lighter ring (the Penumbra).

Sunspots usually appear in groups that form over hours or days and last for days or weeks.

These early sunspot observations indicated that the Sun rotates once in about 27 days.

Solar activity index – average sunspot numbers



Current solar activity index

DAILY SUNSPOT AREA AVERAGED OVER INDIVIDUAL SOLAR ROTATIONS



http://science.msfc.nasa.gov/ssl/pad/solar/images/bfly.gif

NASA/NSSTC/HATHAWAY 2005/10



What is the active Sun?



The gradual increase in solar activity as shown in the EIT and LASCO C3 images illustrates the approach of solar maximum

Solar magnetic field reversal



Strong toroidal magnetic fields (TMF) forming Active Regions (ARs)
are created in a shear layer beneath the base of the Solar Convection zone (SCZ).



Automated feature extraction

Rocket Science EIT 284 16-Jul-2002 19:06:03.505 UT



SFCs created in EGSO project 2002-2005



New proxy for solar activity index SBMF (top) and sunspot MF (bottom) (Zharkov et al, 2008, Stix 1976)

Cycle 23 -Solar Background MF



MF flux Sun Spots



White light refraction into waves of different colors, or wavelengths



SBMF results: Scree plot (->prizm) Eigenvalues vs variances (Zharkova et al, 2012)



Eigen vectors come in pairs, here are PCs



(Shepherd et al, 2014, Zharkova et al, 2015)

Mathematical laws from PCs: Symbolic regression -Hamiltonian approach (Schmidt and Lipton, 2009, Science)

- Mathematical law for the first principal component: $F_1(t) = \sum_{k=1,...,5} A_k \cos(\omega_{k,1}t + \phi_{k,1}) \cos(B_{k,1} \cos(\omega_{k,1}t + \phi_{k,1}))$
- Mathematical law for the second principal component: $F_2(t) = \sum_{k=1,..,5} A_k \cos(\omega_{k,2}t + \phi_{k,2})\cos(B_{k,2}\cos(\omega_{k,2}t + \phi_{k,2}))$

Summary curve of 2 PCs



Modulus summary curve

Zharkova et al, 2015, SciRep; 2020, Temp., Zharkova et al, 2022, MNRAS



Predicted solar activity (Zharkova et al, 2015, SR <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/srep15689</u>)



Discovery of grand solar cycles :350-400 years In addition to 11 year cycles

https://solargsm.com/solar-activity/ - my webpage

This result was reported at

 the National Astronomy Meeting in Llandudno and covered by the RAS press-release

https://nam2015.org/index.php/press-releases/64-irregular-heartbeat-ofthe-sun-driven-by-double-dynamo

• media

https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2015/07/150709092955.htm and

https://www.chroniclelive.co.uk/business/business-news/mini-ice-age-co uld-freeze-11607587

• U-tube talks

<u>https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=double+dynamo+and+grand+solar+minimum</u>

Verification of summary curve with large s/s for grand cycle prior MM (Zharkova etal, 2017, 2018)





Periods – grand cycle: 350-400 years and supergrand cycle :2000-2100 years



Verification of summary curve with sunspot index Zharkova et al, 2022b





Summary: New proxy of solar activity New proxy of SA -Principal components of SBMF

- PCs are paired double dynamo waves
- The strongest 2 PCs cover more than 40% of variance or 67% of SD
- Prediction of the solar activity on a millennium scale shows grand solar cycle with a period of 350-400 years
- Next grand solar minimum is underway in 2020-2053
- Prediction for 3000-10000 years backwards fits the main grand minima and warming periods https://solargsm.com/solar-activity/

2 layer dynamo model explaining some PCA features Zharkova et al, 2015, Popova et al, 2013

We included the meridional flows in each layer:

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (VB)}{\partial \theta} = \beta \Delta B, \qquad \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + V \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} = \alpha B + \beta \Delta A, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (vb)}{\partial \theta} = D \cos \theta \frac{\partial a}{\partial \theta} + \Delta b, \qquad \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial a}{\partial \theta} = \Delta a , \qquad (2.4)$$

here $V(\theta), v(\theta)$ are the meridional flows in the respective layers.

Following Parker we prescribe r = 0 for the radial boundary between two layers and use boundary conditions:

$$b = B, \qquad a = A, \qquad \frac{\partial b}{\partial r} = \beta \frac{\partial B}{\partial r}, \qquad \frac{\partial a}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial r}.$$
 (2.5)

In view of the symmetry conditions $\alpha(-\theta) = -\alpha(\theta)$, $V(-\theta) = -V(\theta)$ the above system of equations can be considered in only one (e.g., the northern) hemisphere using antisymmetry (dipolar symmetry) or symmetry (quadrupolar symmetry) conditions at the equator.

We obtained Hamilton-Jacobi equation for eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) by a method similar to the method described in Popova et al. (2010).

Two-Layer Medium observed with

HMI/SDO heliosesimic observations



Undamped Equation: Solution to Initial Value Problem (1 of 2)

• Thus our solution is

$$y(t) = \frac{F_0}{m(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)} (\cos \omega t - \cos \omega_0 t)$$

• To simplify the solution even further, let $A = (a_0 + a_1)/2$ and $B = (a_0 - a_1)/2$. Then $A + B = a_0 t$ and $A - B = a_1 t$. Using the trigonometric identity $\cos(A \pm B) = \cos A \cos B \mp \sin A \sin B$,

it follows that

 $\cos \omega t = \cos A \cos B + \sin A \sin B$ $\cos \omega_0 t = \cos A \cos B - \sin A \sin B$

and hence

 $\cos \omega t - \cos \omega_0 t = 2 \sin A \sin B$

Undamped Equation: Beats (2 of 2)

• Using the results of the previous slide, it follows that oscillations are described by the formula:

$$y(t) = \left[\frac{2F_0}{m(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)}\sin\frac{(\omega_0 - \omega)t}{2}\right]\sin\frac{(\omega_0 + \omega)t}{2}$$

• When $|\mathfrak{A}_0 - \mathfrak{A}| \approx 0$, $\mathfrak{A}_0 + \mathfrak{A}$ is much larger than $\mathfrak{A}_0 - \mathfrak{A}$, and

 $sin[(\Im_0 + \Im)t/2]$ oscillates more rapidly than $sin[(\Im_0 - \Im)t/2]$ (amplitude of faster oscillation).

• Thus motion is a rapid oscillation with frequency $(\sqrt[4]{0} + \sqrt[4]{2})/2$, but with slowly varying sinusoidal amplitude given by formula:

$$\frac{2F_0}{m|\omega_0^2 - \omega^2|} \left| \sin \frac{(\omega_0 - \omega)t}{2} \right|$$

- This phenomena is called a **Deal**.
- Beats occur with two tuning forks of nearly equal frequency (beats used for tuning piano c



Dynamo model (top) and summary curve (bottom



https://www.nature.com/articles/srep15689



Maunder Grand Solar Minimum



Zharkova et al, 2015, Popova et al, 2018

Solar activity, Total Irradiance and Climate

The 0.1% change in the Total Solar Irradiance seen over the last three solar cycles only produces a 0.1° C temperature change in climate models. However, the Sun seems to have a bigger impact.



Two other mechanisms (besides direct forcing by the Total Solar Irradiancevariations) are under study:1) solar ultraviolet and extreme ultraviolet variabilityand2) Cosmic Ray modulation on cloud cover.

Spectrum of Solar Radiation (Earth)



Solar irradiance and terrestrial temperature during MM



Authors	S, Maunder minimum, W/m²	S 1990-2000, W/m²	∆S from MM, %
Lean et. Al., 1995	1363	1366	0.22
Steinhilber et al, 2012	1364	1366	0.22
Shirley et al., 1990		1370	0.51
Wolff and Hickey, 1987		1371	0.51
Lee et al., 1995		1372	0.51

After the TSI data were re-normalized the old data are hardly usable

Temperature restoration during/after MM

(Shindell et al., 2001, Science)



-.7 -.5 -.35 -.2 -.05 .05 .2 .35

- Thé surface temperature of the Earth was reduced all over the Globe
- Europe and North America went into a deep freeze
- Alpine glaciers extended over valley farmland
- Sea ice crept south from the Arctic
- Dunab and Thames rivers & canals in the Netherlands froze regularly

Temperature restoration during MM (Shindell et al., 2001, Science)



-.7 -.5 -.35 -.2 -.05 .05 .2 .35

• the drop in the temperature was related to dropped abundances of ozone created by solar ultraviolate light in the stratosphere, the layer of the atmosphere located between 10 and 50 kilometers from the Earth's surface

The Changing Jet Stream



- Less ozone affected planetary atmosphere waves
- They, in turn, caused the giant wiggles in the jet stream as shown in picture on the left
- It kicked the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO)—the balance between a permanent lowpressure system near Greenland and a permanent high-pressure system to its south—into a negative phase
- that led to Europe to remain unusually cold during the MM

Shindell et al., 2001



 Cycle 25 (green line) shows a steeper growth of the number of spotless days than any other cycles including the ones during Dalton min (cycles 15 and 24) (blue line)

Stop The Climate Stupidity

Has the world warmed?



The atmosphere hasn't warmed - in downtrend from 2016.
Stop The Climate Stupidity

38

Australia is much the same.



Nothing has happened really - sideways for the last 25

Modern Grand Solar Minimum 2020-2053 Snow in Carpathian mountains 7, 14 July'19 July –Ukraine, 12 July 2019, 2021-2021 – many examples



- Contrary to the prediction of JAMES HANSEN, 1989: "NEW YORK CITY'S WEST SIDE HIGHWAY WILL BE UNDERWATER BY 2009"
- January 2020 snow and frost -2C was recorded in Amman, Arabia, first in 150 years
- Early snow in Canada in September' 20, May 21
- Summer snow in south of Australia 2021

Snow in Africa's desert 8 December 2020

https://twitter.com/GerryAMcG/status/1336420778582138886



Modern GSM: Sea ice thickness increase in 2018-2020



 Contrary to prediction of JAMES HANSEN, 1989: "NEW YORK CITY'S WEST SIDE HIGHWAY WILL BE UNDERWATER BY 2009"

 Arctic sea ice thickness grown significantly in 2018 and continues to grow

Modern GSM is progressing –November 2020- June 2022

GFS Total Snowfall [*includes sleet*] (inches) (assuming 10:1 snow:liquid ratio) Init: 00z Nov 11 2020 Forecast Hour: [384] valid at 00z Fri, Nov 27 2020



- UNPRECEDENTED WINTER STORM HITS BRITISH COLUMBIA
- Both NOAA and NASA appear to agree, *if you read between the lines*, with NOAA saying we're entering a <u>'full-blown' Grand Solar Minimum</u> in the late-2020s
- NASA seeing this upcoming solar cycle (25) as " <u>the weakest of the past 200 years</u>", with the agency correlating previous solar shutdowns to prolonged periods of global cooling here.



extent on record, with much of the Arctic Ocean uncovered

RECOVERY: Contrary to predictions that the ice would have vanished by this summer, it has actually increased by 29 per cent from last year

Volcanic activity correlates with SA cycles of southern polarity (Vasilieva and Zharkova, 2022, ApJ in press)

Blue – frequencies of volcanic eruptions Red - the summary curve of solar background magnetic field. Positive magnitudes – northern polarity, negative - southern polarity.

Bottom plot

Volcanic eruption frequencies highly (0.84) correlate with the summary curve with southern polarity with a period of 22 years (1860-1950).

Next maximum of volcanic eruptions will occur in cycle 26 (2031-2042).





https://solargsm.com/solar-activity/

- To occur in 2020 2053
- This is a unique event in solar-terrestrial connection [] will reveal the pros and cons of solar dynamo models
- Decrease of solar magnetic field
 big impact ozone reduction, high cloud formation, jet direction changes, cosmic rays inclease
- Increase of volcanic and earthquake activities
- Effects on the terrestrial temperature via TSI, jets and volcanic activity
- Shortage of vegetation periods can lead to possible food shortages in 2028-2042
- Need inter-government efforts to avoid disasters

Terrestrial temperature recovery recovery after mini ice age during





2000-2100 year oscillations (Zharkova et al, 2019, 2020) of the MF baseline coincides with that of the solar irradiance (Vierra et al, 2011)



31 October 2018

What are the reasons of solar irradiance oscillations?



www.shutterstock.com • 577527586



Obliquity (axial tilt) (ε) (22°.1-24°.5) current 23.5 - T~41 000 years

Eccentricity (e). Change on semi-minor axis T~100-400K years effects of Jupiter and Saturn

Longitude of perihelion $(sin(\varpi))$.

Precession index (e sin(ϖ)) –

- Axial Earth's axis of rotation relative to fixed stars – T~ 25,771.5 y
- Apsidal orbit ellipse relative to fixed stars T~113 000 y
- Orbital inclination T~ 70 000 y

Earth's movements:

- 1.1 Orbital shape (eccentricity)
 - 1.1.1 Effect on temperature
 - 1.1.2 Effect on lengths of seasons
- 1.2 Axial tilt (obliquity)
- 1.3 Axial precession
- 1.4 Apsidal precession
- 1.5 Orbital inclination (

Solar Inertial Motion







S. Perminov, E.D. Kuznetsov, 2018 SIM imposed by planets Jupiter, Saturn, Neptune and Uranus Charvatova, 1988, Palus et al, 2007

Rice et al, PP comments #72, 96

X (AU)

0.005

0.010

0.000

-0.03

-0.010

-0.005



https://www.intechopen.com/online-first/millennial-oscillations-of-solar-irradiance-and-magnetic-field-in-600-2600



-----Series2 -----Series3













Annual variations of mean S-E distances (ephemeris)

in M1 (600-1600) and M2 (1700-2600)

(Zharkova, 2020 <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2008.00439.pdf</u>)



b - Sun shifted by SIM to spring equinox











Annual TSI variations in M1 (600-1600) and M2 (1700-2600)

Zharkova, 2021

https://www.intechopen.com/online-first/millennial-oscillations-of-solar-irradiance-and-magnetic-field-in-600-2600



M1: TSI <u>significantly increases</u> in February – June, and decreases in June-December. M2: the aphelion shifts to mid-July []TSI decrease in July – January is not fully compensated!



Global Warming Over Last Century (Hardy, 2017)

Total Temperature Balance if extra 5 W/m^2 radiation is added:

-Solar warming over last century:
for solar anomaly °TSI = 2.6% $°T_{Sun} = °TSI \times S_S = 0.44^{\circ}C$ $\rightarrow 60\%$ -CO2 warming over last century:
100 ppm CO2 at ECS = 0.70°C $°T_{CO2} = 0.30^{\circ}C$ $\rightarrow 40\%$

Full agreement with observed temperature increase: 0.74°C

Full agreement with observed cloud cover changes

We have extra 20-25 W/m^2 radiation every year! Hence, the hating comes from this radiation! How IPCC models can account for extra-heating from CO2



Radiative Transfer:

What IPCC missed when interpreting the emission of CO2?

Spectrum of Solar Radiation (Earth)





Note : the flows 100 or 200 are not W/m² but simple numbers to help the understanding of what happens



80% of the thermal radiation from a body is produced in its skin or "pellicle" of optical thickness $\tau = 1,07$

Interpretation of the equation of radiative transfer

 The formal solution of the radiative transfer equation yields the observed intensity of the radiation:

• The frequency
$$I_{\upsilon} = I_{\upsilon}(0) e^{-\tau_{\upsilon}} + \int_{0}^{\tau_{\upsilon}} S_{\upsilon} e^{-\tau_{\upsilon}} d\tau_{\upsilon}$$
 h and tion of emission and/or absorption features.

Radiative transfer in action



https://demonstrations.wolfram. com/ComputationOfRadiativeTr ansfer/

- intensity of emission from the gray area on the left.
- for tau<1 emission (green curve) the emitted intensity is proportional to the density of excited molecules (CO2)
- for tau>1 it becomes saturated (red curve).
- IPCC assumes that all CO2 emitting as green curve while its tau>>1, so it is emitting as the red curve (saturated).
Optical depth

The overall optical depth of a batch of gas is an important number. If tells us right away if the cloud falls into one of two useful regimes:

optically thin: << 1

- Chances are small that a photon will interact with particle
- Can effectively see right through the cloud
- In the optically thin regime, the amount of extinction (absorption plus scattering) is linearly related to the amount of material: double the amount of gas, double the extinction

if we can measure the amount of light absorbed (or emitted) by the gas, we can calculate exactly how much gas there is

Optical depth

Optically thick: >> 1

```
I = I(0) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}) [] I/I(0) =

Exp(-10) = 5e-5

Exp(-1) = 0.37

Exp(-0.1) = 0.9

Exp(-0.0001) = 0.99
```

 Certain that a photon will interact many times with particles before it finally escapes from the cloud

- Any photon entering the cloud will have its direction changed many times by collisions -- which means that its "output" direction has nothing to do with its "input" direction. [] Cloud is opaque
- You can't see through an optically thick medium; you can only see light emitted by the very outermost layers.
 i.e., can't 'see' interior of a star - only see the 'surface' or the photosphere
- One convenient feature of optically thick materials: the spectrum of the light they emit is a blackbody spectrum, or very close to it [] layers deep within a star (can assume LTE)

Increase of SI with a decrease of S-E distance would lead to the increase of T by 1.2C by 2010, and by further 2.5-3.0 C in 2500.

SIM solar forcing and green house (GH) effects

- The terrestrial temperature increase induced by change of the S-E distance can only account for the baseline temperature variations (straight line) here and in Akasofu's curve (2010).
- K.Rice Pubpeer comment #38 (shown in the figure on the right) that whole T is defined by the blue curve. The T increase above the baseline is interpreted by the current terrestrial models Including GH gases
- Harde et al., 2017 (IJAS, id 9251034) modelled radiative transfer of CO2 with extra solar forcing of the similar magnitudes found from SIM [] T increase: 60% solar and 40% by CO2



Predicted solar activity (Zharkova et al, 2015, SR https://www.nature.com/articles/srep15689)



The Sun entered the grand solar minimum in 2020 until 2053 <u>https://solargsm.com/solar-activity/</u> - my webpage

Modulus summary curve

Zharkova et al, 2015, SciRep; 2020, Temp., Zharkova et al, 2022, MNRAS



Conclusions

- PCs of solar magnetic field are paired double dynamo waves
- Prediction of the solar activity on a millennium scale shows grand cycle variations with period of 350-400 years
- <u>Global cooling</u>: the modern GSM to occur in 2020 2053 [] Different narratives for governments!
- <u>What about global warming?</u> It occurs independently to any solar activity!
- Analysis of summary curve for 100,000 years detects weak variations of the magnetic field baseline with a period of ~2100-2200 years Hallstatt's cycle
- These MF variations are closely linked to the solar inertial motion about a barycentre of the solar system
- Owing to SIM SI would increase from 1600 to 2500 by further 20-25 W/m² per year (10-12 W/m² per hemisphere)
- Increase of SI with a decrease of S-E distance would lead to the increase of T by 1.2C by 2010, and by further 2.5-3.0 C in 2500.
- Is there AGW effect? If Sun SIM covers this heating than not much left to think so!



Thanks for your attention!